

Key words: energy, efficient, wiring, heating, air conditioner

D. SUBSTANTIAL POWER SAVINGS BY GOING LARGER? 18

by Sterling D. Allan, Free Energy News
Feb. 17, 2018

This is the fourth article in a series I'm doing about some things I heard/learned from a fellow inmate I'm calling "Nick" who asked not to be named. At 71 years old, he's the son of a physicist who worked with Einstein on the Philadelphia Experiment, as well as on black budget flying saucer projects in the 1940s and '50s.

The first story covers a 'free energy' (from the wheelwork of nature) technology that produced 125 kw to power their ranch for four decades. The second is about an anti-gravity and propulsion "electron ring" embodied in a toy he called his "magic carpet." The third is about the "Tesla Pole," a solid state antenna for collecting power from the air.

This present story isn't as exotic, but relates to simple ways to dramatically increase efficiency. These are things that use variations of established materials and resources. Also, these are things Nick himself discovered and used in his own home. * (Move this next ¶ to the 2nd ¶ of Postscript. >

* Let me pause and tell you a little more about Nick, to help establish his credibility as a human being who has accomplished significant things. † At a high point in his life, he owned 406 apartments, 28 houses, had

This came up in a conversation he was having with another inmate

\$7 million in cash, with \$22 million in stocks and bonds. He has also run businesses in sales and manufacturing.

One anecdote story I found interesting was that he had purchased the last private jet made by the Japanese StarJet company for \$1 million.† Its sales price was \$8 million, but it was being discontinued because of its inefficiency in fuel. It cost him \$40,000 to have it brought in to Calif. with taxes & tariffs. As it came into its hanger, another guy saw it and came over to gawk at it. He wanted it. After some dialogue, they agreed to a trade, avoiding taxes: a new ranch house for \$250k and \$7 million in cash. Nick later sold the ranch for \$4 mil.

(glad to get rid of a lemon?)

USE LARGER GAUGE WIRING

The first thing Nick came up with (1992) for energy efficiency in the home was to use larger gauge wiring in a new home he built for family:

- 10-2 w/ground instead of 12 or 14-gauge
- 8-3 for the stove and hot water appliance
- 6-3 for the air conditioner (2800)

While the up-front cost was more, it resulted in a \$225/month savings, paying for itself in just a few months. A friend did the same thing and saw an even greater savings. His electrical bill had been \$425-450/month in a former home, but never went over \$120/month in the new home of similar size.

<Not enough data to present>

DOUBLING THE RECOMMENDED HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEM SIZE REDUCES OPERATING COST TO < 25%?

Another thing Nick discovered was that when he switched out his recommended-size heating and air conditioning system for ones capable of at least twice the output, not only did his home stay at a comfortable temperature more constantly and quickly, but the power bill was trimmed to 20-25%.

This did not involve increasing the size of the HVAC ductwork in the home.

The larger system is not on nearly as much. That may be part of why there is greater efficiency. Maybe the larger systems were also designed to be more efficient.

He said that with the increased up-front upfit cost, you're probably looking at a 3 year return on investment from reduced energy use.

The 2x+ output systems were not that much bigger. His 10-ton heater system was only about a foot wider and deeper, and 8" taller than the new 3-ton system it replaced.

I realize that it may seem inconceivable that something so simple has not been brought to mainstream acceptance and implementation. So, let me give some reasons for you to consider:

- The out-think of "we've always done it this way" is extremely hard to unseat.
- The group-think of "everyone else does it this way" is likewise a strong deterrent to trying new things.

- The thought form of "every major breakthrough in home wiring was accomplished long ago; there's nothing left to improve" likewise promotes a lackadaisical mentality.
- "Surely electrical engineers in the universities around the world would have stumbled onto this by now" likewise deters an open mind.
- People are accustomed to doing what they can to keep costs as low as possible. So trying something that would initially cost quite a bit more is counter-intuitive, as well as contrary to conservative thinking.
- There's also a conservation mentality, ^{thinking it} that's good to use as little of the earth's resources as possible.
- As for Nick having come upon these solutions back in 1992 but others not becoming aware of them and spreading the understanding, remember that he and his family are offspring of a Philadelphia Experiment physicist. They are accustomed to hiding/concealing things, not sharing them.

Each one of these is a strong deterrent to something so simple being overlooked for so long.

I hope whoever posts these stories for me will likewise post quality follow-up info that confirms, modifies or disproves what is presented here.

Post as the opening of the postscript.

POSTSCRIPT: CREDIBILITY?

I had a fellow inmate friend read this story. He said that though it was an interesting read, he did not get excited about the science. It does not sound plausible.

"You know here in prison we hear a lot of made up B.S." And that is a valid point. Nick could be making all this up.

~~Regarding the size of heaters & A/C units, he said that in the industry it is known if you increase the size 20% from the recommended, the operating cost increases by 1%. Regarding 1-gauge wire, he said he used to haul it as a trucker. It's very heavy and expensive.~~

Regarding the electron ring, he had a couple of concerns. The first is one that didn't make sense to me either. If the bottom coil lined up perpendicular to the pull of gravity, why didn't the back coil do the same? Also, an anti-gravity device would not be affected by local topography. Perhaps it's not strictly anti-gravity but something else.

He sees no feasibility in the QMogen described. I do, because I'm aware of so many other (over 40?) similar claims. My domain QMogen.com used to redirect to our PESwiki page on QMogens. Take a look at the pre-arrest date, 2/22/16 version of that page.

~~Nick could be mistaken about the larger gauge wiring to increase electrical efficiency. He could be wrong about doubling the size of the heater or AC system. He's merely sharing what he experienced — or he's making it up or misremembering.~~

In the past, skeptics have questioned my integrity for publishing such dubious information. But people familiar with my news realize I'm merely giving voice to claims to breakthroughs. It's not a lack of integrity that is beneath that; it is courage to give voice to the underdog. It's part of being on the bleeding edge.

Yes, I realize my "credibility" takes a hit when any one of these ideas are properly disproved.

Was Edison an idiot or lacking in integrity for each failed idea he put forth for a light bulb? A crucial ingredient of success is being willing to continue despite repeated failures.

^{genuinely} I believe in what I'm doing. That's why I do it — not every little detail, but the overall quest. I know it to be good and am not ashamed of it, even though it is not yet popular.